

Journal Pre-proof

Safety and Tolerability of Metastasis Directed Radiotherapy in the Era of Evolving Systemic, Immune and Targeted Therapies

Dr. Elizabeth Guimond MD, FRCPC ,
Dr Chiaojung Jillian Tsai MD, PhD , Dr. Ali Hosni MD ,
Dr. Grainne O’Kane MD , Dr Jonathan Yang MD, PhD ,
Dr. Aisling Barry MD

PII: S2452-1094(22)00128-2
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adro.2022.101022>
Reference: ADRO 101022

To appear in: *Advances in Radiation Oncology*

Received date: 21 March 2022
Accepted date: 2 July 2022

Please cite this article as: Dr. Elizabeth Guimond MD, FRCPC , Dr Chiaojung Jillian Tsai MD, PhD , Dr. Ali Hosni MD , Dr. Grainne O’Kane MD , Dr Jonathan Yang MD, PhD , Dr. Aisling Barry MD , Safety and Tolerability of Metastasis Directed Radiotherapy in the Era of Evolving Systemic, Immune and Targeted Therapies, *Advances in Radiation Oncology* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adro.2022.101022>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Crown Copyright © 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Radiation Oncology.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)



Safety and Tolerability of Metastasis Directed Radiotherapy in the Era of Evolving Systemic, Immune and Targeted Therapies

Short title: Metastasis Radiotherapy and Systemic Therapy

Authors: Dr. Elizabeth Guimond¹, MD, FRCPC, Dr Chiaojung Jillian Tsai³, MD, PhD, Dr. Ali Hosni¹, MD, Dr. Grainne O'Kane², MD, Dr Jonathan Yang, MD, PhD³, Dr. Aisling Barry, MD¹

Authors Institutions:

1. Radiation Medicine Program, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Canada and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont.
2. Radiation Medicine Program, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Canada and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont.
3. Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA

Corresponding Author: Dr. Elizabeth Guimond

Email: Elizabeth.guimond@rmp.uhn.ca

Author responsible for statistical analysis: Dr. Elizabeth Guimond

Email: Elizabeth.guimond@rmp.uhn.ca

Conflict of Interest: None

Funding: None

Data availability: Research data are stored in an institutional repository and will be shared upon request to the corresponding author.

Purpose

Systemic, immune and target therapies are growing in use in the management of metastatic cancers. The aim of this review is to describe up to date published data on the safety and tolerability of metastasis directed hypofractionated radiotherapy (RT) when combined with newer systemic, immune and targeted therapies, and provide suggested strategies to mitigate potential toxicities in the clinical setting.

Methods and materials

A comprehensive search was performed between 1946 to August 2021 using pre-determined keywords describing the use of non-central nervous system palliative RT with commonly used targeted systemic therapies on Pubmed®

and Medline® databases. A total of 1022 articles were screened, 130 met pre-specified criteria to be included in this review.

Results

BRAF and MEK inhibitors are reported to be toxic when given concurrently with RT and suspension 3 days and 1-2 days respectively prior and post RT is suggested. Cetuximab, Erlotinib/Gefitinib and Osimertinib were generally safe to use concomitantly with conventional radiation. But in a palliative/hypo-fractionated RT setting, suspending cetuximab during radiation week, Erlotinib/Gefitinib 1-2 days and Osimertinib ≥ 2 days pre and post RT is suggested. VEGF inhibitors such as bevacizumab reported substantial toxicities, suggestion is to suspend 4 weeks before and after radiation. Less data exists on sorafenib and sunitinib; 5 to 10 days suspension before and after RT should be considered. As a precaution, until further data is available, for CDK4-6 inhibitors, consideration to suspending of treatment 1-2 days before and after RT should be given. Ipilimumab should be suspended 2 days before and after RT and insufficient data exist for other immunotherapy agents. Trastuzumab and pertuzumab are generally safe to use in combination with RT, but insufficient data exist for other HER2 target therapy.

Conclusion

Suggested approaches are described, using up to date literature, to aid clinicians navigate the integration of newer targeted agents with hypo-fractionated palliative and/or ablative metastatic RT. Further prospective studies are required.

Introduction

The use of cancer directed therapy is rapidly advancing, in the era of individualised patient directed care, and the emergence of numerous systemic, immune, and targeted therapies. For patients with metastatic disease, the role of radiation therapy (RT) is also evolving, with increasing interest in combining radiation with these newer systemic therapies to potentiate an anti-tumour immune response and in an effort to avoid interruptions of systemic treatment in patients metastatic disease¹⁻³.

Limited prospective safety and tolerability data exists when combining systemic therapies and RT in the metastatic setting. The aim of this review is to describe up to date published data on the safety and tolerability of metastasis directed hypo-fractionated RT when combined with newer systemic, immune, and targeted therapies, and provide suggested strategies to mitigate potential toxicities in the clinical setting.

Methods and materials

A comprehensive literature review from peer-reviewed journals was performed through PubMed® and Medline® from 1946 to August 2021. The search strategy restricted to English language and human subjects, with subject-specific keywords developed as per authors' consensus (XX, XX). Controlled vocabulary terms were used when available referring to palliative RT, SBRT, bone metastases, targeted therapy, check-point inhibitor, BRAF inhibitor, MEK inhibitor, immunotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor, PDL-1 inhibitor, tyrosine kinase inhibitor and CDK4-6 inhibitor (Appendix 1 for completed list). The most recent search was performed May, 17th, 2022. Of 1029 screened articles, only prospective, retrospective studies, case reports where the aforementioned treatments and RT were used concomitantly or sequentially with discussion of radiation induced toxicity, were reviewed. A limited number of articles using conventional non-metastatic RT combined with contemporary systemic therapies were discussed in this paper where there was an absence of data in the palliative RT or SBRT setting. A total of 907 studies were excluded where RT to the central nervous systems was delivered or radionuclide therapy was used and if safety data was not available. A further eight publications were added from the authors own library. A total of 130 publications were selected.

Publications including typically used palliative and/or metastatic directed RT fractionation regimens - moderate (defined as >2.2 Gray (Gy) per fraction (fr)) and ultrahypofractionation (defined as ≥ 5 Gy per fr (≤ 10 fr))⁴ (with SBRT specified as ≤ 6 fr) were included in this analysis. These two regimens are typically used in the palliative and metastatic disease setting. The toxicities were graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v3.0 or higher)⁵ when information was available.

Results:

Publications were divided according to class of systemic agent. Based on the limited data available, suggested toxicity mitigation strategies were proposed (Table 1) for each class. When relevant palliative studies were not available, curative intent trials were primarily used and finally when neither of the above were available, the drug's elimination half-life (as it leads to the elimination of more than 95% of the drug⁶) was considered to guide clinical practice.

Radiotherapy and BRAF/MEK inhibitor agents

Vemurafenib and dabrafenib are the two most commonly used BRAF-inhibitors, mainly in the management of metastatic melanoma. They are shown to be associated with in vitro radiosensitization^{7,8} and have a $t_{1/2}$ of 57 hours⁹ (range 30 to 120 hours) and 8 hours⁹ respectively.

When BRAF inhibitors are combined with RT, the most commonly report side effect is dermatitis, occurring during or within 7 days of RT¹⁰⁻²³. In addition to acute skin toxicities, there have been a number of case reports of radiation recall, associated with systemic agents that have started more than 7 days from RT completion, with no Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 3 higher toxicity reported, and subsequently managed conservatively^{10,24-29}. All CTCAE grade 3 toxicities happened when the BRAF inhibitor was given concurrently or within 2 days of radiation¹⁵, and when high dose RT was given (eg. 71 Gy in 28 fr)²⁷. A dose threshold has not been reported, but from retrospective data by Churilla et al, when treating with 30 Gy in 10 fr, the estimated dose received by the skin was 23-31 Gy, resulting in a grade 3 dermatitis³⁰.

Non-dermatologic toxicities are less commonly reported in the literature (Appendix 2). Anker et al. reported a CTCAE grade 5 hepatic hemorrhage which occurred following 20 Gy in 5 fr using parallel opposed beam

radiation delivered to T10 to L1 vertebral body¹⁵. However, the direct causality was unclear due to the growing number and size of known liver metastases, and the low dose of radiation received by the liver (liver mean dose = 2.7 Gy). Underlying liver function was not reported, but additional data suggests avoiding direct liver irradiation when patients on BRAF inhibitors present with a Child-Pugh B7 and higher score³¹. A CTCAE grade 5 toxicity, reported by Baroudjia et al¹¹, resulted in a hemothorax one month after palliative right axillary RT using 20 Gy in 4 fr. Reassuringly, they also reported another similar case that had no toxicities with a higher dose of 30 Gy in 6 fr. Two cases of CTCAE grade 2 pneumonitis with combined vemurafenib and chest irradiation were reported, but the authors were unable to differentiate if toxicities were solely drug related or not³². A patient who received concurrent Vemurafenib with palliative RT to the left neck 50 Gy in 20 fr developed a CTCAE grade 3 oral mucositis and dermatitis¹². According to the authors this toxicity was not expected with the oral cavity receiving at most 12 Gy. Hecht et al reported only 2 patients (2%) with grade 3 oesophagitis with parenteral nutrition needs in their series on spine irradiation with patient with melanoma¹⁶.

Trametinib is a MEK-inhibitor targeting the MAPK pathway, used frequently in combination with dabrafenib and mainly used in melanoma and anaplastic thyroid cancer. It has a $t_{1/2}$ of 4-5 hours⁹. Little information on the use as a monotherapy therefore exists.

A recent phase 2 study by Zhu et al³³, compared pancreatic cancer SBRT 35 Gy in 5 fr, in the setting of locally recurrent pancreatic cancer, with pembrolizumab and trametinib versus gemcitabine concurrently. Reported toxicities were more commonly seen in the SBRT plus pembrolizumab and trametinib arm, with CTCAE grade 3-4 increased liver enzymes (12% vs 7%) and increased bilirubin (5% vs 0%), with no treatment related deaths occurred. However, hepatotoxicity is not a common side effect of trametinib, and authors believed the reported toxicity likely arose from the pembrolizumab. No toxicities have been reported with this drug combination with conventional RT³⁴. A case of CTCAE grade 4 bowel perforation was described at 1 month after palliative RT (20 Gy in 5 fr) with dabrafenib and trametinib which was started 10 days after radiation²⁹.

A recent phase I/II study³⁵ evaluated the use of Dabrafenib and trametinib in patients with metastatic melanoma receiving palliative radiation (20 Gy in 5 fr and 30 Gy in 10 fr). Two patients included in the study

received 20 Gy in 5 fr using 3D conformal RT to the lumbar spine and right ilium/L1 vertebra respectively, without any significant gastrointestinal toxicities reported by 12 months.

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Guidelines from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) and based on data outlined above, suspension of BRAF inhibitors 3 days before and after radiation²⁹ should be considered mainly to avoid skin toxicity. There is insufficient published data to provide a recommendation for MEK-inhibitors. Based on trametinib's $t_{1/2}$, 1-2 days pre and post RT might be sufficient.

Radiotherapy and EGFR/ALK inhibitor agents

Commonly used epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors include cetuximab, erlotinib, gefitinib and osimertinib, with $t_{1/2}$ of 112, 36.2, 48 and 48 hours respectively⁹. Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody targeting EGFR, whereas the other agents are receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Crizotinib is an anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitors with $t_{1/2}$ of 42 hours⁹. ALK TKIs have been reported to potentiate the effect of lung injury when the lungs are within the RT target volume³⁶. Studies primarily involving the use of these agents in combination with RT for the treatment of head and neck, colorectal and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are summarized in Appendix 3.

There is a lack of data reporting the use of cetuximab combined with hypo-fractionated and/or palliative RT. The majority of evidence describes cetuximab in combination with radical, conventionally fractionated RT for locally advanced head and neck cancer. These studies report a 6%-36% risk of CTCAE grade 3 or higher skin reaction, which is significantly increased with cetuximab compared to cisplatin³⁷⁻⁴⁰. In the palliative setting, only one case has reported a grade 3 oesophagitis when 5-FU/cisplatin and cetuximab were combined with 30 Gy in 10 fr spine RT⁴¹. A retrospective study reported no CTCAE grade 3 or higher toxicity⁴² when hypofractionated RT was used in three patients with metastatic head and neck cancer⁴². Other studies reporting head and neck SBRT delivered concomitantly with cetuximab were in recurrent settings⁴³⁻⁴⁵. Concomitant cetuximab with conventionally chest fractionated thorax RT has been studied in two phase 2 studies without major safety

concerns^{46,47}, and with conventionally fractionated RT to the rectum with a 5-38% rate of CTCAE grade 3-4 diarrhea⁴⁸.

Numerous prospective studies have investigated the role of conventionally fractionated RT in combination with erlotinib and gefitinib, reporting CTCAE grade 3 ≤ toxicities related to nausea, skin, oesophagitis and pneumonitis⁴⁹⁻⁶⁰. Weickhardt et al.⁶¹, Gan et al.⁶² and Borghetti et al.⁶³ published retrospective studies treating different metastatic sites from gastro-intestinal cancers with concurrent erlotinib or crizotinib using SBRT and hypofractionated palliative RT, no CTCAE grade 3 or higher toxicity was reported. In the multi-institutional phase II study written by Gomez et al.¹, treating patients with oligometastatic NSCLC without progression after front-line systemic therapy, two patients received SBRT concurrently with crizotinib, reported toxicities were similar to patients who did not receive concomitant therapy. Gefitinib has also been used in combination with lung SBRT in a retrospective study of 122 elderly patients with no pneumonitis reported⁶⁴. In a phase II trial by Swanimath et al. the safety of palliative hypofractionated thorax RT (30 Gy in 10 fr) with concurrent Erlotinib was demonstrated with only one CTCAE grade 3 nausea and one CTACE grade 4 dermatitis reported⁶⁵. However, a Chinese study published a high rate of CTCAE grade 3 or higher radiation pneumonitis (54%), including one death, when osimertinib was combined with palliative lung RT (30-60 Gy in 10-30 fr)⁵².

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Cetuximab is commonly used with conventionally fractionated RT, however in the setting of hypo-fractionated RT, due to the long $t_{1/2}$ and paucity of toxicity data, omitting it during the week of radiation treatment is suggest. Erlotinib and gefitinib have been reported as safe with conventionally fractionated RT, but in absence of supportive data in the setting of palliative/metastasis directed RT, a washout period of 1-2 days before starting radiation is suggested. Due to lack of prospective data, combining crizotinib or osimertinib with RT is cautioned and a washout period of at least 2 days is recommended. In cases where radiation is delivered to the lung, attention should be given to lung dosimetry, especially in the setting of patient with interstitial pneumonitis.

VEGF inhibitor agents

Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds and neutralizes vascular endothelial growth factor VEGF-A with a $t_{1/2}$ of 20 days⁹. It is most commonly used in the management of gynecological, colorectal and hepatocellular malignancies (Appendix 4).

Bleeding after surgery in patients receiving bevacizumab have been commonly reported. A meta-analysis⁶⁶ described the incidence of gastro-intestinal perforation of 1% with associated mortality rate of 21% in patients receiving bevacizumab, with history of prior radiation reported as a risk factor. Barney et al.⁶⁷ further reported a 9% rate of serious bowel injury (CTCAE grade 3-4 GI ulceration, CTCAE grade 4-5 GI perforation) post SBRT (median dose: 50 Gy in 5 fr), in patients who received VEGF inhibitors before and after radiation, with reported toxicities higher (up to 35%) when systemic therapy was given after radiation. No clinically significant CTCAE grade 3 or higher bowel toxicities occurred in patients not receiving VEGF inhibitor after SBRT. These findings suggest a synergistically deleterious effect with the combination of VEGF inhibitors and SBRT. Note that no toxicities were reported when a maximum bowel dose of 18 Gy was recorded,

Sorafenib and sunitinib are multi-receptor TKIs targeting, among others, the kinase c-raf, VEGF α 2/3 and PDGF- α , with a $t_{1/2}$ of 25 to 48 hours and 40 to 60 hours respectively⁹. These agents are mostly used in hepatocellular carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor and thyroid carcinoma Appendix 4.

Peters et al⁶⁸ reported a CTCAE grade 5 bowel perforation with a single dose of palliative RT to the spine (8 Gy in 1 fr) when sorafenib was stopped 2 days prior to radiation and re-started 3 days post. Murray et al⁶⁹ reported severe toxicities with concurrent sorafenib and palliative radiation (30 Gy in 10 fr), as one CTCAE grade 3 oesophagitis, one CTCAE grade 3 transaminase elevation and one CTCAE grade 5 bowel perforation (tumor was invading the bowel in this case). Two phase I studies⁷⁰⁻⁷¹ showed that concurrent sorafenib with liver SBRT resulted in clinically meaningful toxicities, such as gastrointestinal bleeding.

A phase II trial⁷² published important gastro-intestinal toxicities associated with a combination of sunitinib and SBRT (50 Gy in 10 fr) for oligometastatic disease. Also, Staehler et al.⁷³ studied the association of sorafenib and

sunitinib with spine stereotactic radiosurgery (20 Gy in 1 fr), reporting one CTCAE grade 3 bleeds and one CTCAE grade 5 gastrointestinal hemorrhage, that was considered likely related to sunitinib rather than RT.

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Combining VEGF inhibitors agents with any fractionation schedule of radiation appears unsafe. Bevacizumab should be stopped at least 4 weeks prior to RT and recommence at least 4 weeks post RT. For TKIs targeting VEGF, at least 5 to 10 days pre and post RT should be considered especially if gastrointestinal mucosa is within the irradiated field.

CDK4-6 inhibitor agents

Palbociclib is a reversible small molecule cyclin-dependant kinase inhibitor selective for CDK 4 and 6, which has a role in regulating progression through the cell cycle and has a $t_{1/2}$ of 29 hours⁹. Ribociclib and abemaciclib are CDK 4-6 inhibitors with $t_{1/2}$ of 30 to 55 hours and 18.3 hours⁹.

Few retrospective data⁷⁴⁻⁸¹ exist on the used of CDK4/6 inhibitors (Appendix 5) in combination with RT. Beddock et al.⁸² evaluate the combination of palbociclib and RT in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Palliative metastases were treated with standard palliative regimens to 17 vertebral body metastasis, 7 peripheral bone metastasis and 1 choroidal metastasis. One patient had CTCAE grade 3 pain after radiation, 2 patients needed to stop palbociclib during RT due to CTCAE grade 3 dermatitis and CTCAE grade 2 dysphagia. No late toxicity was described. In three patients with metastatic breast cancer treated with palliative lung RT (20 Gy in 5 fr) concurrently with palbociclib, two patients developed radiation pneumonitis refractory to corticosteroids and all developed pulmonary fibrosis⁷⁶. Norman et al. demonstrate higher CTCAE grade 3 lymphopenia during cycle 1 of palbociclib in patients with breast cancer receiving 20-30 Gy in 5-10 fr RT within 1 year of palbociclib; patients who received 10 fr were more likely to have cycle one interrupted than those receiving shorter radiation courses⁸³.

A single-center retrospective study⁸⁴ was published on the use of concomitant palbociclib (50%), ribociclib (33%) and abemaciclib (17%) with multi-site palliative RT in patients with metastatic breast cancer. RT was mostly well tolerated, with one patient who received 30 Gy in 10 fr to the pelvis developing a CTCAE grade 3 ileitis requiring hospitalisation. The patient subsequently recovered. Two other CTCAE grade 3 colitis were reported with

concomitant palbociclib and 30 Gy in 10 fr to the pelvis^{85,86}. Interestingly, Lee et al. reported that due to higher surviving crypts in the small intestine, a protective GI effect of CDK4/6 inhibitors was found when delivered prior to a single fr of RT compare to fractionated RT which led to an increased risk of GI toxicity⁸⁷.

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Based on the limited, largely retrospective data available, stopping CDK 4-6 inhibitor 3 days before and after radiation is suggested.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors - CTLA-4, PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors

Immune checkpoint inhibitors work to remove inhibitory signals between tumor cells and T cells, igniting an immune response. Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte-Associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) inhibitors, such as ipilimumab are thought to act early in the immune cycle and primarily in lymph nodes. Furthermore, CTLA-4 inhibitors are believed to remove immunosuppressive molecules such as T-Regulatory cells⁸⁸. The t_{1/2} for ipilimumab, nivolumab and pembrolizumab is 15.4, 25 and 22 days respectively⁹. PD-L1 inhibitors such as durvalumab, atezolizumab and avelumab have a t_{1/2} of 18, 27 and 6.1 days respectively⁹ (Appendix 6).

Immunotherapy appears generally safe with minimal side-effects reported in patients who received conventionally fractionated RT in combination with durvalumab⁸⁹ (pneumonitis), pembrolizumab^{90,91} and nivolumab⁹² (pneumonitis, oesophageal fistulation).

Luke et al⁹³ described a 10% incidence of CTACE grade 3 or more radiation related toxicities in a phase I study of patients who received pembrolizumab within 7 days of SBRT. Three CTCAE grade 3 pneumonitis, two CTCAE grade 3 colitis and one CTCAE grade 3 hepatic toxicity all within the radiation field were reported. In the setting of metastatic NSCLC, a phase 1 trial⁹⁴ and PEMBRO-RT⁹⁵ suggested that combining RT with pembrolizumab was well tolerated. One patient developed a nephritis post SBRT to a retroperitoneal lesion, which was close to the kidney after a third course of pembrolizumab, and another patient developed a vertebral body compression fracture post spine SBRT. Ho et al.⁹² in a similar phase II trial, using SBRT (30 Gy in 5 fr) concomitant with pembrolizumab to treat a patient with metastatic triple negative breast cancer, tolerable adverse effects were

reported with no CTCAE grade 3 or higher toxicities. A recent phase 2 trial of palliative RT (30 Gy in 10 fr) to the esophagus delivered concomitantly with pembrolizumab, showed one CTCAE grade 3 diarrhea and one CTCAE grade 4 enterocolitis that required discontinuation treatment⁹⁶.

In addition to the many retrospective studies⁹⁷⁻¹⁰¹, four prospective studies evaluated palliative RT with ipilimumab and described a rate of 14-34% of CTCAE grade 3 or higher toxicities¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵, similar to drug-related toxicities only in other studies. An incidence of 1% CTCAE grade 5 immune-related bowel perforation was reported in Kwon et al phase III trial¹⁰³ that studied ipilimumab versus placebo within 2 days prior to RT in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer that had progressed after docetaxel chemotherapy. It was not associated with patients who received pelvic RT and toxicities rates are again all consistent with published drug-only treatment literature¹⁰⁶.

In the metastatic setting, nivolumab with moderate and ultra-hypofractionation appear to be safe with less than 13% CTCAE grade 3 toxicities reported in several studies, and no grade 4-5 toxicities^{101,107-110}. With a median follow-up of 10 months, similar radiation pneumonitis rates were reported when immune checkpoint inhibitors were given within a year of palliative RT (30 Gy in 10 fr) to the thorax¹¹¹. Interestingly, two cases of radiation recall pneumonitis have been reported up to 2 years after radiation with nivolumab¹¹².

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Several studies have reported that the combination of immunotherapy and palliative/hypo-fractionated RT have a potentially positive synergistic effects, while also suggesting safety in this setting. Data exists suggesting the safety of stopping ipilimumab within 2 days of single fr (8Gy) RT to the bone. However, caution should be considered for other immunotherapy agents that are less well described, with particular attention recommended when considering the RT field of treatment (e.g. lungs, abdomen).

HER2 target therapies

Trastuzumab and pertuzumab

Trastuzumab is a humanized recombinant monoclonal antibody binding the extracellular domain of HER2 receptors currently used with breast cancer. Pertuzumab is a recombinant humanized IgG antibody that blocks dimerization receptors and thereby HER2-dependent signaling pathway¹¹³. Estimated half-life is 28¹¹³ and 18 days⁹ respectively.

As the first anti-HER2 molecule used in clinical practice, much data exists on the safety and toxicity of combining trastuzumab and conventional RT, specifically with breast^{114–120} and esophagus^{121,122}. Hypofractionation up to 42,4 Gy in 16 fr appears safe based on retrospective study¹²³. To the best of our knowledge, there is no current data describing the combination of for ultra-hypofractionated breast RT with trastuzumab.

One case report¹²⁴ in the literature described a CTCAE grade 3 radiation enteritis after palliative moderately fractionated radiation with HER2 target therapy in a patient with metastatic breast cancer who was treated to the fifth lumbar vertebra and left hip. The patient developed greater than expected radiation gastroenteritis after 24 Gy in a 30 Gy plan. Another grade 3 gastroenteritis was described one month after 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions to a pancreas metastasis from a breast cancer¹²⁴. Only one retrospective study evaluate trastuzumab plus pertuzumab with concomitant RT in metastatic breast cancer¹¹⁴. With palliative dose, one patient treated with 15 Gy in 5 fr to thoracic vertebra level 8-11 developed an asymptomatic decrease of left ventricular ejection fraction (below 50%), 8 months after RT (heart mean dose 4.46 Gy). This patient also had other risk factors; previous right-side breast/locoregional RT and had received epirubicine. The HER2 regimen was stopped for 3 months, and the patient recovered. Other CTCAE grade 3 toxicities described in this paper where when higher conventionally fractionated doses were used. (Appendix 7)

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Trastuzumab may be delivered concurrently with radiation, with attention to heart dosimetry suggested. Pertuzumab is often used in combination with trastuzumab, and toxicity rate associated with radiation appear similar, but limited data exists.

Lapatinib

Lapatinib is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that acts as a reversible inhibitor of the phosphorylation in the intracellular domain of the HER1/HER2 and downstream receptors. It has a $t_{1/2}$ of 24 hours⁹.

There is little data reporting toxicity outcomes when combining Lapatinib with hypofractionated RT. A number of phase I and II studies using conventionally fractionated RT have most commonly reported dermatological side-effect only^{125–128}.

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

To the best of our knowledge, there is no data reporting lapatinib being used in combination with hypofractionated or palliative RT, the use of the half-life of lapatinib is suggested until further data becomes available to mitigate potential side-effects.

T-DM1

T-DM1 is a systemic therapy combining trastuzumab with mertansine that inhibits mitosis, with a half-life of 3.5 days⁹, most commonly used in HER-2 positive breast cancer.

The majority of existing data is when T-DM1 is combined with conventionally fractionated RT^{129–133}. Side-effects reported in this setting are minimal (radiation dermatitis, pneumonitis and cardiac toxicities) and safety has been reported when administrated with concurrent RT in a recent systemic review¹³⁴.

Summary and Suggested Toxicity Mitigation Strategies

Limited data exists for patients receiving palliative RT concurrently with T-DM1. Combination with conventional fractionation appears safe.

Discussion

Limited data exists assessing the safety and tolerability of combined palliative RT regimens in patients with metastatic disease receiving systemic, immune and targeted therapies, as summarised in this review. There is also a lack of reported radiation therapy data, with very few studies detailing normal tissue dose volume

histograms, planning parameters and delivered dose, limiting more sensitive analysis. Furthermore, much of the published data used a combination of systemic therapies (versus mono-therapy), making it difficult to establish the cause and effect of therapies alone or combination. Reporting bias is reflected by only published data being available for review with, real-time clinical data may not be reflected accurately.

Deciding on an appropriate washout period requires consultation with the multi-disciplinary team, including medical oncology, to determine the risk/benefit ratio in continuing systemic therapies, especially in the setting of urgent or emergency palliative RT and patients with oligo-progressive disease on continuous systemic therapy.

Conclusion

There is an urgent need for further prospective data reporting the safety, efficacy, and ideal timing of concurrent systemic, targeted and immune therapies with moderate and ultra-hypo-fractionated RT in the palliative setting.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Gomez DR, Tang C, Zhang J, et al. Local Consolidative Therapy Vs. Maintenance Therapy or Observation for Patients With Oligometastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Long-Term Results of a Multi-Institutional, Phase II, Randomized Study. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2019;37(18):1558-1565. doi:10.1200/JCO.19.00201
2. Lehrer EJ, Singh R, Wang M, et al. Safety and Survival Rates Associated With Ablative Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Patients With Oligometastatic Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol*. 2021;7(1):92-106. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.6146
3. Palma DA, Olson R, Harrow S, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy versus standard of care palliative treatment in patients with oligometastatic cancers (SABR-COMET): a randomised, phase 2, open-label trial. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2019;393(10185):2051-2058. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32487-5
4. Morgan SC, Hoffman K, Loblaw DA, et al. Hypofractionated Radiation Therapy for Localized Prostate Cancer: Executive Summary of an ASTRO, ASCO, and AUA Evidence-Based Guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2018;8(6):354-360. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2018.08.002
5. Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program (CTEP). Accessed January 15, 2022. https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocoldevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc.htm

6. Thariat J, Kirova Y, Milano G, Mornex F. [Combination of stereotactic irradiation and chemotherapy or targeted therapies: state of the art and preliminary recommendations]. *Cancer Radiother.* 2014;18(4):270-279. doi:10.1016/j.canrad.2014.05.007
7. Dasgupta T, Haas-Kogan DA, Yang X, et al. Genotype-dependent cooperation of ionizing radiation with BRAF inhibition in BRAF V600E-mutated carcinomas. *Invest New Drugs.* 2013;31(5):1136-1141. doi:10.1007/s10637-013-9928-9
8. Sambade MJ, Peters EC, Thomas NE, Kaufmann WK, Kimple RJ, Shields JM. Melanoma cells show a heterogeneous range of sensitivity to ionizing radiation and are radiosensitized by inhibition of B-RAF with PLX-4032. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol.* 2011;98(3):394-399. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2010.12.017
9. Lexi-Drugs. Lexicomp app. UpToDate Inc. Accessed August 12, 2022.
10. Wang CM, Fleming KF, Hsu S. A case of vemurafenib-induced keratosis pilaris-like eruption. *Dermatol Online J.* 2012;18(4):7.
11. Baroudjian B, Boussemart L, Routier E, et al. Dramatic response to radiotherapy combined with vemurafenib. Is vemurafenib a radiosensitizer? *Eur J Dermatol.* 2014;24(2):265-267. doi:10.1684/ejd.2014.2300
12. Wallach JB, Rietschel P, Kalnicki S, Fox JL. BRAF inhibitor (vemurafenib) concurrent with radiation therapy for metastatic melanoma producing severe skin and oral cavity reactions. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2014;4(5):e213-e216. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2013.10.007
13. Schulze B, Meissner M, Wolter M, Rödel C, Weiss C. Unusual acute and delayed skin reactions during and after whole-brain radiotherapy in combination with the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib. Two case reports. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2014;190(2):229-232. doi:10.1007/s00066-013-0474-3
14. Satzger I, Degen A, Asper H, Kapp A, Hauschild A, Gutzmer R. Serious skin toxicity with the combination of BRAF inhibitors and radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(13):e220-2. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.44.4265
15. Anker CJ, Ribas A, Grossmann AH, et al. Severe liver and skin toxicity after radiation and vemurafenib in metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(17):e283-7. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.44.7755
16. Hecht M, Zimmer L, Loquai C, et al. Radiosensitization by BRAF inhibitor therapy-mechanism and frequency of toxicity in melanoma patients. *Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol.* 2015;26(6):1238-1244. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdv139
17. Houriet C, Klass ND, Beltraminelli H, Borradori L, Oberholzer PA. Localized Epidermal Cysts as a Radiation Recall Phenomenon in a Melanoma Patient Treated with Radiotherapy and the BRAF Inhibitor Vemurafenib. *Case Rep Dermatol.* 2014;6(3):213-217. doi:10.1159/000367708
18. Lang N, Sterzing F, Enk AH, Hassel JC. Cutis verticis gyrata-like skin toxicity during treatment of melanoma patients with the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib after whole-brain radiotherapy is a consequence of the development of multiple follicular cysts and milia. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2014;190(11):1080-1081. doi:10.1007/s00066-014-0707-0
19. Levy A, Hollebecque A, Bourgier C, et al. Targeted therapy-induced radiation recall. *Eur J Cancer.* 2013;49(7):1662-1668. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2012.12.009
20. Peuvrel L, Ruellan A-L, Thillays F, et al. Severe radiotherapy-induced extracutaneous toxicity under vemurafenib. *Eur J Dermatol.* 2013;23(6):879-881. doi:10.1684/ejd.2013.2193
21. Pulvirenti T, Hong A, Clements A, et al. Acute Radiation Skin Toxicity Associated With BRAF Inhibitors. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(3):e17-20. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.49.0565
22. Reigneau M, Granel-Brocard F, Geoffrois L, et al. Efflorescence of scalp cysts during vemurafenib treatment

- following brain radiation therapy: a radiation recall dermatitis? *Eur J Dermatol.* 2013;23(4):544-545. doi:10.1684/ejd.2013.2108
23. Saco M, Mitchell C. Severe radiation dermatitis associated with concomitant vemurafenib therapy in a patient with metastatic melanoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2014;70(6):e135-6. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2013.10.046
 24. Harding JJ, Barker CA, Carvajal RD, Wolchok JD, Chapman PB, Lacouture ME. Cutis verticis gyrata in association with vemurafenib and whole-brain radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(14):e54-6. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.49.3528
 25. Boussemart L, Boivin C, Claveau J, et al. Vemurafenib and radiosensitization. *JAMA dermatology.* 2013;149(7):855-857. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2013.4200
 26. Yilmaz M, Celik U, Hascicek S. Radiation recall dermatitis with dabrafenib and trametinib: A case report. *World J Clin cases.* 2020;8(3):522-526. doi:10.12998/wjcc.v8.i3.522
 27. Braunstein I, Gangadhar TC, Elenitsas R, Chu EY. Vemurafenib-induced interface dermatitis manifesting as radiation-recall and a keratosis pilaris-like eruption. *J Cutan Pathol.* 2014;41(6):539-543. doi:10.1111/cup.12318
 28. Conen K, Mosna-Firlejczyk K, Rochlitz C, et al. Vemurafenib-induced radiation recall dermatitis: case report and review of the literature. *Dermatology.* 2015;230(1):1-4. doi:10.1159/000365918
 29. Anker CJ, Grossmann KF, Atkins MB, Suneja G, Tarhini AA, Kirkwood JM. Avoiding Severe Toxicity From Combined BRAF Inhibitor and Radiation Treatment: Consensus Guidelines from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016;95(2):632-646. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.01.038
 30. Churilla TM, Chowdhry VK, Pan D, de la Roza G, Damron T, Lacombe MA. Radiation-induced dermatitis with vemurafenib therapy. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2013;3(4):e195-8. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2012.11.012
 31. Pan CC, Kavanagh BD, Dawson LA, et al. Radiation-associated liver injury. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2010;76(3 Suppl):S94-100. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.06.092
 32. Forschner A, Zips D, Schraml C, et al. Radiation recall dermatitis and radiation pneumonitis during treatment with vemurafenib. *Melanoma Res.* 2014;24(5):512-516. doi:10.1097/CMR.000000000000078
 33. Zhu X, Cao Y, Liu W, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy plus pembrolizumab and trametinib versus stereotactic body radiotherapy plus gemcitabine for locally recurrent pancreatic cancer after surgical resection: an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;22(8):1093-1102. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00286-2
 34. Wu C, Williams TM, Robb R, et al. Phase I Trial of Trametinib with Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation in Patients with Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. *Clin cancer Res an Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res.* 2020;26(13):3117-3125. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-4193
 35. Wang W, Smith JL, Carlino MS, et al. Phase I/II trial of concurrent extracranial palliative radiation therapy with Dabrafenib and Trametinib in metastatic BRAF V600E/K mutation-positive cutaneous Melanoma. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol.* 2021;30:95-99. doi:10.1016/j.ctro.2021.08.006
 36. Pellegrino B, Facchinetti F, Bordi P, Silva M, Gnetti L, Tiseo M. Lung Toxicity in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients Exposed to ALK Inhibitors: Report of a Peculiar Case and Systematic Review of the Literature. *Clin Lung Cancer.* 2018;19(2):e151-e161. doi:10.1016/j.clcc.2017.10.008
 37. Gillison ML, Trotti AM, Harris J, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab or cisplatin in human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal cancer (NRG Oncology RTOG 1016): a randomised, multicentre, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet (London, England).* 2019;393(10166):40-50. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32779-X
 38. Mehanna H, Robinson M, Hartley A, et al. Radiotherapy plus cisplatin or cetuximab in low-risk human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal cancer (De-ESCALaTE HPV): an open-label randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet (London, England).* 2019;393(10166):51-60. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32752-1

39. Gebre-Medhin M, Brun E, Engström P, et al. ARTSCAN III: A Randomized Phase III Study Comparing Chemoradiotherapy With Cisplatin Versus Cetuximab in Patients With Locoregionally Advanced Head and Neck Squamous Cell Cancer. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2021;39(1):38-47. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.02072
40. Bonner JA, Harari PM, Giralt J, et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for locoregionally advanced head and neck cancer: 5-year survival data from a phase 3 randomised trial, and relation between cetuximab-induced rash and survival. *Lancet Oncol*. 2010;11(1):21-28. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70311-0
41. Chiba T, Ohashi Y, Tsunoda N, et al. Radiation Esophagitis in a Patient with Oral Carcinoma and Bone Metastasis. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. 2020;14(3):453-457. doi:10.1159/000508930
42. Gamez ME, Agarwal M, Hu KS, Lukens JN, Harrison LB. Hypofractionated Palliative Radiotherapy with Concurrent Radiosensitizing Chemotherapy for Advanced Head and Neck Cancer Using the "QUAD-SHOT Regimen". *Anticancer Res*. 2017;37(2):685-691. doi:10.21873/anticancer.11364
43. Vargo JA, Ferris RL, Ohr J, et al. A prospective phase 2 trial of reirradiation with stereotactic body radiation therapy plus cetuximab in patients with previously irradiated recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2015;91(3):480-488. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.11.023
44. Lartigau EF, Tresch E, Thariat J, et al. Multi institutional phase II study of concomitant stereotactic reirradiation and cetuximab for recurrent head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol*. 2013;109(2):281-285. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2013.08.012
45. Gebhardt BJ, Vargo JA, Ling D, et al. Carotid Dosimetry and the Risk of Carotid Blowout Syndrome After Reirradiation With Head and Neck Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2018;101(1):195-200. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.11.045
46. Jensen AD, Munter MW, Bischoff HG, et al. Combined treatment of nonsmall cell lung cancer NSCLC stage III with intensity-modulated RT radiotherapy and cetuximab: the NEAR trial. *Cancer*. 2011;117(13):2986-2994. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25888
47. Ramalingam SS, Kotsakis A, Tarhini AA, et al. A multicenter phase II study of cetuximab in combination with chest radiotherapy and consolidation chemotherapy in patients with stage III non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2013;81(3):416-421. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2013.06.002
48. Glynne-Jones R, Hadaki M, Harrison M. The status of targeted agents in the setting of neoadjuvant radiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancers. *J Gastrointest Oncol*. 2013;4(3):264-284. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2078-6891.2013.037
49. Erlotinib Hydrochloride or Crizotinib and Chemoradiation Therapy in Treating Patients With Stage III Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/nct01822496>.
50. Zhang X, Xie C, Li W, Zhang P, Wu S. [Phase II study of radiotherapy plus erlotinib for elder patients with esophageal carcinoma]. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi*. 2012;92(23):1615-1617.
51. Xie C, Jing Z, Luo H, et al. Chemoradiotherapy with extended nodal irradiation and/or erlotinib in locally advanced oesophageal squamous cell cancer: long-term update of a randomised phase 3 trial. *Br J Cancer*. 2020;123(11):1616-1624. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-01054-6
52. Jia W, Guo H, Jing W, et al. An especially high rate of radiation pneumonitis observed in patients treated with thoracic radiotherapy and simultaneous osimertinib. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol*. 2020;152:96-100. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2020.07.051
53. Zhai Y, Hui Z, Wang J, et al. Concurrent erlotinib and radiotherapy for chemoradiotherapy-intolerant esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients: results of a pilot study. *Dis esophagus Off J Int Soc Dis Esophagus*. 2013;26(5):503-509. doi:10.1111/j.1442-2050.2012.01380.x
54. Iyer R, Chhatrala R, Shefter T, et al. Erlotinib and radiation therapy for elderly patients with esophageal

- cancer - clinical and correlative results from a prospective multicenter phase 2 trial. *Oncology*. 2013;85(1):53-58. doi:10.1159/000351617
55. Xu Y, Zheng Y, Sun X, et al. Concurrent radiotherapy with gefitinib in elderly patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Preliminary results of a phase II study. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(35):38429-38439. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.5193
 56. Ready N, Jänne PA, Bogart J, et al. Chemoradiotherapy and gefitinib in stage III non-small cell lung cancer with epidermal growth factor receptor and KRAS mutation analysis: cancer and leukemia group B (CALEB) 30106, a CALGB-stratified phase II trial. *J Thorac Oncol Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. 2010;5(9):1382-1390. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181eba657
 57. Martínez E, Martínez M, Rico M, et al. Feasibility, tolerability, and efficacy of the concurrent addition of erlotinib to thoracic radiotherapy in locally advanced unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: a Phase II trial. *Onco Targets Ther*. 2016;9:1057-1066. doi:10.2147/OTT.S89755
 58. Song T, Du D, Zhang X, Fang M, Wu S. Comparative study of radiotherapy plus erlotinib versus chemoradiotherapy for elderly patients with esophageal cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. *Dis esophagus Off J Int Soc Dis Esophagus*. 2017;30(9):1-10. doi:10.1093/dote/dox060
 59. Lilenbaum R, Samuels M, Wang X, et al. A phase II study of induction chemotherapy followed by thoracic radiotherapy and erlotinib in poor-risk stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: results of CALGB 30605 (Alliance)/RTOG 0972 (NRG). *J Thorac Oncol Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. 2015;10(1):143-147. doi:10.1097/JTO.0000000000000347
 60. Wu S-X, Wang L-H, Luo H-L, et al. Randomised phase III trial of concurrent chemoradiotherapy with extended nodal irradiation and erlotinib in patients with inoperable oesophageal squamous cell cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2018;93:99-107. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2018.01.085
 61. Weickhardt AJ, Scheier B, Burke JM, et al. Local ablative therapy of oligoprogressive disease prolongs disease control by tyrosine kinase inhibitors in oncogene-addicted non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. 2012;7(12):1807-1814. doi:10.1097/JTO.0b013e3182745948
 62. Gan GN, Weickhardt AJ, Scheier B, et al. Stereotactic radiation therapy can safely and durably control sites of extra-central nervous system oligoprogressive disease in anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive lung cancer patients receiving crizotinib. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2014;88(4):892-898. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.11.010
 63. Borghetti P, Bonù ML, Roca E, et al. Radiotherapy and Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Stage IV Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: Real-life Experience. *In Vivo*. 2018;32(1):159-164. doi:10.21873/invivo.11219
 64. Pan D, Wang B, Zhou X, Wang D. Clinical study on gefitinib combined with γ -ray stereotactic body radiation therapy as the first-line treatment regimen for senile patients with adenocarcinoma of the lung (final results of JLY20080085). *Mol Clin Oncol*. 2013;1(4):711-715. doi:10.3892/mco.2013.135
 65. Swaminath A, Wright JR, Tsakiridis TK, et al. A Phase II Trial of Erlotinib and Concurrent Palliative Thoracic Radiation for Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2016;17(2):142-149. doi:10.1016/j.clcc.2015.09.008
 66. Hapani S, Chu D, Wu S. Risk of gastrointestinal perforation in patients with cancer treated with bevacizumab: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10(6):559-568. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70112-3
 67. Barney BM, Markovic SN, Laack NN, et al. Increased bowel toxicity in patients treated with a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor (VEGFI) after stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2013;87(1):73-80. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2013.05.012
 68. Peters NAJB, Richel DJ, Verhoeff JJC, Stalpers LJA. Bowel perforation after radiotherapy in a patient receiving sorafenib. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(14):2405-2406. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.15.8451

69. Murray L, Longo J, Wan J, et al. Phase I dose escalation study of concurrent palliative radiation therapy with sorafenib in three anatomical cohorts (Thorax, Abdomen, Pelvis): The TAP study. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol*. 2017;124(1):74-79. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2017.06.007
70. Goody RB, Brade AM, Wang L, et al. Phase I trial of radiation therapy and sorafenib in unresectable liver metastases. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol*. 2017;123(2):234-239. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2017.01.018
71. Brade AM, Ng S, Brierley J, et al. Phase 1 Trial of Sorafenib and Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2016;94(3):580-587. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.11.048
72. Tong CCL, Ko EC, Sung MW, et al. Phase II trial of concurrent sunitinib and image-guided radiotherapy for oligometastases. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e36979. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036979
73. Staehler M, Haseke N, Nuhn P, et al. Simultaneous anti-angiogenic therapy and single-fraction radiosurgery in clinically relevant metastases from renal cell carcinoma. *BJU Int*. 2011;108(5):673-678. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09895.x
74. Meattini I, Desideri I, Scotti V, Simontacchi G, Livi L. Ribociclib plus letrozole and concomitant palliative radiotherapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Breast*. 2018;42:1-2. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2018.08.096
75. Kawamoto T, Shikama N, Sasai K. Severe acute radiation-induced enterocolitis after combined palbociclib and palliative radiotherapy treatment. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol*. 2019;131:240-241. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2018.09.020
76. Hans S, Cottu P, Kirova YM. Preliminary results of the association of Palbociclib and radiotherapy in metastatic breast cancer patients. *Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther Radiol Oncol*. 2018;126(1):181. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2017.09.010
77. Kalash R, Iarrobino NA, Beriwal S, Sun M, Glaser SM, Champ CE. Palbociclib Enhances Pulmonary Fibrosis in Patients Undergoing Thoracic Radiation Therapy: A Case Series and Review of the Literature. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2018;102(3):e610. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.07.1673
78. Chowdhary M, Sen N, Chowdhary A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Palbociclib and Radiation Therapy in Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer: Initial Results of a Novel Combination. *Adv Radiat Oncol*. 2019;4(3):453-457. doi:10.1016/j.adro.2019.03.011
79. Ippolito E, Greco C, Silipigni S, et al. Concurrent radiotherapy with palbociclib or ribociclib for metastatic breast cancer patients: Preliminary assessment of toxicity. *Breast*. 2019;46:70-74. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2019.05.001
80. Messer JA, Ekinci E, Patel TA, Teh BS. Enhanced dermatologic toxicity following concurrent treatment with palbociclib and radiation therapy: A case report. *Reports Pract Oncol Radiother J Gt Cancer Cent Pozn Polish Soc Radiat Oncol*. 2019;24(3):276-280. doi:10.1016/j.rpor.2019.03.001
81. Kim KN, Shah P, Clark A, et al. Safety of cyclin-dependent kinase4/6 inhibitor combined with palliative radiotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Breast*. 2021;60:163-167. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2021.10.001
82. Beddok A, Xu HP, Henry AA, et al. Concurrent use of palbociclib and radiation therapy: single-centre experience and review of the literature. *Br J Cancer*. 2020;123(6):905-908. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-0957-9
83. Norman H, Lee KT, Stearns V, Alcorn SR, Mangini NS. Incidence and Severity of Myelosuppression With Palbociclib After Palliative Bone Radiation in Advanced Breast Cancer: A Single Center Experience and Review of Literature. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2022;22(1):e65-e73. doi:10.1016/j.clbc.2021.07.013
84. Guerini AE, Pedretti S, Salah E, et al. A single-center retrospective safety analysis of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitors concurrent with radiation therapy in metastatic breast cancer patients. *Sci Rep*.

- 2020;10(1):13589. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70430-2
85. Ratosa I, Orazem M, Scoccimarro E, et al. Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 Inhibitors Combined With Radiotherapy for Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2020;20(6):495-502. doi:10.1016/j.clbc.2020.05.013
 86. Dasgupta A, Sahgal A, Warner E, Czarnota GJ. Safety of palbociclib concurrent with palliative pelvic radiotherapy: discussion of a case of increased toxicity and brief review of literature. *J Med Radiat Sci*. 2021;68(1):96-102. doi:10.1002/jmrs.435
 87. Lee C-L, Oh P, Xu ES, et al. Blocking Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4/6 During Single Dose Versus Fractionated Radiation Therapy Leads to Opposite Effects on Acute Gastrointestinal Toxicity in Mice. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2018;102(5):1569-1576. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.07.192
 88. Hwang WL, Pike LRG, Royce TJ, Mahal BA, Loeffler JS. Safety of combining radiotherapy with immune-checkpoint inhibition. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2018;15(8):477-494. doi:10.1038/s41571-018-0046-7
 89. Antonia SJ, Villegas A, Daniel D, et al. Durvalumab after Chemoradiotherapy in Stage III Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(20):1919-1929. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1709937
 90. Shaverdian N, Lisberg AE, Bornazyan K, et al. Previous radiotherapy and the clinical activity and toxicity of pembrolizumab in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer: a secondary analysis of the KEYNOTE-001 phase 1 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017;18(7):895-903. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30380-7
 91. Hwang WL, Niemierko A, Hwang KL, et al. Clinical Outcomes in Patients With Metastatic Lung Cancer Treated With PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors and Thoracic Radiotherapy. *JAMA Oncol*. 2018;4(2):253-255. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3808
 92. Peters S, Felip E, Dafni U, et al. Progression-Free and Overall Survival for Concurrent Nivolumab With Standard Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy in Locally Advanced Stage IIIA-B NSCLC: Results From the European Thoracic Oncology Platform NICOLAS Phase II Trial (European Thoracic Oncology Plat. *J Thorac Oncol Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer*. 2021;16(2):278-288. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.10.129
 93. Luke JJ, Lemons JM, Karrison TG, et al. Safety and Clinical Activity of Pembrolizumab and Multisite Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(16):1611-1618. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.76.2229
 94. Mattes MD, Eubank TD, Almubarak M, et al. A Prospective Trial Evaluating the Safety and Systemic Response From the Concurrent Use of Radiation Therapy with Checkpoint Inhibitor Immunotherapy in Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2021;22(4):268-273. doi:10.1016/j.clc.2021.01.012
 95. Theelen WSE, Peulen HMU, Lalezari F, et al. Effect of Pembrolizumab After Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy vs Pembrolizumab Alone on Tumor Response in Patients With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Results of the PEMBRO-RT Phase 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol*. 2019;5(9):1276-1282. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1478
 96. Chao J, He T-F, D'Apuzzo M, et al. A Phase 2 Trial Combining Pembrolizumab and Palliative Radiation Therapy in Gastroesophageal Cancer to Augment Abscopal Immune Responses. *Adv Radiat Oncol*. 2022;7(1):100807. doi:10.1016/j.adro.2021.100807
 97. Barker CA, Postow MA, Khan SA, et al. Concurrent radiotherapy and ipilimumab immunotherapy for patients with melanoma. *Cancer Immunol Res*. 2013;1(2):92-98. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-13-0082
 98. Liniker E, Menzies AM, Kong BY, et al. Activity and safety of radiotherapy with anti-PD-1 drug therapy in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Oncoimmunology*. 2016;5(9):e1214788. doi:10.1080/2162402X.2016.1214788
 99. Qin R, Olson A, Singh B, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Radiation Therapy in Advanced Melanoma Patients Treated With Ipilimumab. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2016;96(1):72-77. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.04.017

100. Bang A, Wilhite TJ, Pike LRG, et al. Multicenter Evaluation of the Tolerability of Combined Treatment With PD-1 and CTLA-4 Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors and Palliative Radiation Therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2017;98(2):344-351. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.02.003
101. Postow MA, Knox SJ, Goldman DA, et al. A Prospective, Phase 1 Trial of Nivolumab, Ipilimumab, and Radiotherapy in Patients with Advanced Melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res an Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res.* 2020;26(13):3193-3201. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3936
102. Hiniker SM, Reddy SA, Maecker HT, et al. A Prospective Clinical Trial Combining Radiation Therapy With Systemic Immunotherapy in Metastatic Melanoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2016;96(3):578-588. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.07.005
103. Kwon ED, Drake CG, Scher HI, et al. Ipilimumab versus placebo after radiotherapy in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer that had progressed after docetaxel chemotherapy (CA184-043): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(7):700-712. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70189-5
104. Tang C, Welsh JW, de Groot P, et al. Ipilimumab with Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy: Phase I Results and Immunologic Correlates from Peripheral T Cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017;23(6):1388-1396. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1432
105. Welsh JW, Tang C, de Groot P, et al. Phase II Trial of Ipilimumab with Stereotactic Radiation Therapy for Metastatic Disease: Outcomes, Toxicities, and Low-Dose Radiation-Related Abscopal Responses. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2019;7(12):1903-1909. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0793
106. Bristol-Myers. Yervoy (ipilimumab) package insert. Published 2013. Accessed August 17, 2021. http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_yervoy.pdf
107. Fiorica F, Belluomini L, Stefanelli A, et al. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Nivolumab and Radiotherapy in Pretreated Lung Cancer Patients: Efficacy and Safety of Combination. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2018;41(11):1101-1105. doi:10.1097/COC.0000000000000428
108. Amin NP, Zainib M, Parker SM, Agarwal M, Mattes MD. Multi-institutional report on toxicities of concurrent nivolumab and radiation therapy. *Adv Radiat Oncol.* 2018;3(3):399-404. doi:10.1016/j.adro.2018.04.015
109. McBride S, Sherman E, Tsai CJ, et al. Randomized Phase II Trial of Nivolumab With Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy Versus Nivolumab Alone in Metastatic Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2021;39(1):30-37. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.00290
110. Aboudaram A, Modesto A, Chaltiel L, et al. Concurrent radiotherapy for patients with metastatic melanoma and receiving anti-programmed-death 1 therapy: a safe and effective combination. *Melanoma Res.* 2017;27(5):485-491. doi:10.1097/CMR.0000000000000386
111. Saito S, Abe T, Iino M, et al. Incidence and risk factors for pneumonitis among patients with lung cancer who received immune checkpoint inhibitors after palliative thoracic radiotherapy. *J Radiat Res.* 2021;62(4):669-675. doi:10.1093/jrr/rrab051
112. Shibaki R, Akamatsu H, Fujimoto M, Koh Y, Yamamoto N. Nivolumab induced radiation recall pneumonitis after two years of radiotherapy. *Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol.* 2017;28(6):1404-1405. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx115
113. Levêque D, Gigou L, Bergerat JP. Clinical pharmacology of trastuzumab. *Curr Clin Pharmacol.* 2008;3(1):51-55. doi:10.2174/157488408783329931
114. Ajgal Z, de Percin S, Dieras V, et al. Combination of radiotherapy and double blockade HER2 with pertuzumab and trastuzumab for HER2-positive metastatic or locally recurrent unresectable and/or metastatic breast cancer: Assessment of early toxicity. *Cancer Radiother.* 2017;21(2):114-118. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.canrad.2016.10.002

115. Advani PP, Ballman K V, Dockter TJ, Colon-Otero G, Perez EA. Long-Term Cardiac Safety Analysis of NCCTG N9831 (Alliance) Adjuvant Trastuzumab Trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(6):581-587. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.61.8413
116. Ganz PA, Romond EH, Cecchini RS, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up of Cardiac Function and Quality of Life for Patients in NSABP Protocol B-31/NRG Oncology: A Randomized Trial Comparing the Safety and Efficacy of Doxorubicin and Cyclophosphamide (AC) Followed by Paclitaxel With AC Followed by Paclitax. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(35):3942-3948. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.74.1165
117. Horton JK, Halle J, Ferraro M, et al. Radiosensitization of chemotherapy-refractory, locally advanced or locally recurrent breast cancer with trastuzumab: a phase II trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;76(4):998-1004. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.03.027
118. Dackus GMHE, Jóźwiak K, van der Wall E, et al. Concurrent versus sequential use of trastuzumab and chemotherapy in early HER2+ breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2021;185(3):817-830. doi:10.1007/s10549-020-05978-8
119. Jacob J, Belin L, Pierga JY, et al. Concurrent administration of trastuzumab with locoregional breast radiotherapy: long-term results of a prospective study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2014;148(2):345-353. doi:10.1007/s10549-014-3166-5
120. Halyard MY, Pisansky TM, Dueck AC, et al. Radiotherapy and adjuvant trastuzumab in operable breast cancer: tolerability and adverse event data from the NCCTG Phase III Trial N9831. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(16):2638-2644. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.17.9549
121. Safran H, Dipetrillo T, Akerman P, et al. Phase I/II study of trastuzumab, paclitaxel, cisplatin and radiation for locally advanced, HER2 overexpressing, esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2007;67(2):405-409. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.08.076
122. Safran H, Winter KA, Wigle DA, et al. Trastuzumab with trimodality treatment for esophageal adenocarcinoma with HER2 overexpression: NRG Oncology/RTOG 1010. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(15_suppl):4500. doi:10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.4500
123. De Santis MC, Bonfantini F, Di Salvo F, et al. Trastuzumab and Hypofractionated Whole Breast Radiotherapy: A Victorious Combination? *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2018;18(3):e363-e371. doi:10.1016/j.clbc.2017.08.011
124. Katz DA, Abrams RA, Sciamberg JS, Usha L. Radiosensitizing effect of anti-HER2/neu agents: Report of 2 cases and review of the literature. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2015;5(2):e61-5. doi:10.1016/j.prro.2014.06.006
125. Kimple RJ, Horton JK, Livasy CA, et al. Phase I study and biomarker analysis of lapatinib and concurrent radiation for locally advanced breast cancer. *Oncologist*. 2012;17(12):1496-1503. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2012-0256
126. Shepard G, Arrowsmith ER, Murphy P, et al. A Phase II Study with Lead-In Safety Cohort of 5-Fluorouracil, Oxaliplatin, and Lapatinib in Combination with Radiation Therapy as Neoadjuvant Treatment for Patients with Localized HER2-Positive Esophagogastric Adenocarcinomas. *Oncologist*. 2017;22(10):1152-e98. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0186
127. Harrington K, Berrier A, Robinson M, et al. Randomised Phase II study of oral lapatinib combined with chemoradiotherapy in patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: rationale for future randomised trials in human papilloma virus-negative disease. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49(7):1609-1618. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2012.11.023
128. Harrington K, Temam S, Mehanna H, et al. Postoperative Adjuvant Lapatinib and Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy Followed by Maintenance Lapatinib Monotherapy in High-Risk Patients With Resected Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck: A Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled St. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(35):4202-4209. doi:10.1200/JCO.2015.61.4370
129. Krop IE, Suter TM, Dang CT, et al. Feasibility and cardiac safety of trastuzumab emtansine after

anthracycline-based chemotherapy as (neo)adjuvant therapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(10):1136-1142. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.58.7782

130. von Minckwitz G, Huang C-S, Mano MS, et al. Trastuzumab Emtansine for Residual Invasive HER2-Positive Breast Cancer. Fein L, Lerzo G, Egle D, Greil R, Hubalek M, Singer C, Steger G, Dirix L, Duhoux F, Jerusalem G, Renard V, Araujo R, Boukai A, Hegg R, Lima JP, Mano M, Morelle A, Muller AP, Paiva CE, Pedrini JL, Pinczowski H, Santos L, Teich NL, Testa L, Wiermann E, Basi KL, ed. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(7):617-628. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1814017
131. Corbin KS, Breen WG, Strauss JB. Radiation dermatitis in patients treated with concurrent trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1). *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol*. 2020;24:99-101. doi:10.1016/j.ctro.2020.06.013
132. Loibl S, Huang C-S, Mano MS, et al. 96O Adjuvant trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) vs trastuzumab (T) in patients (pts) with residual invasive disease after neoadjuvant therapy for HER2+ breast cancer: Subgroup analysis from KATHERINE. *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31:S48. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.03.036
133. Zolcsák Z, Loirat D, Fourquet A, Kirova YM. Adjuvant Trastuzumab Emtansine (T-DM1) and Concurrent Radiotherapy for Residual Invasive HER2-positive Breast Cancer: Single-center Preliminary Results. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2020;43(12):895-901. doi:10.1097/COC.0000000000000769
134. Piroth MD, Krug D, Sedlmayer F, et al. Post-neoadjuvant treatment with capecitabine and trastuzumab emtansine in breast cancer patients-sequentially, or better simultaneously? *Strahlenther Onkol*. 2021;197(1):1-7. doi:10.1007/s00066-020-01667-z

Table 1: Summary of Suggested Approaches

Agents	Drug	Suggestions
BRAF and MEK inhibitor	Vemurafenib and Dabrafenib	Suspend 3 days before and after RT.
	Trametinib	Suspend 1-2 days before and after RT.
EGFR and ALK inhibitor	Cetuximab	Suspend the week of radiation if SBRT.
	Erlotinib and Gefetinib	Suspend 1-2 days before and after RT.
	Crizotinib and Osimertinib	Suspend ≥ 2 days before and after RT.
VEGF inhibitor	Bevacizumab	Suspend 4 weeks before and after RT.
	Sorafenib and Sunitinib	Suspend 5-10 days before and after RT.
CDK 4-6 inhibitor	Palbociclib and Ribociclib	Suspend 3 days before and after RT.
Immunotherapy	Ipilimumab	Suspend 2 days before and after RT if 8 Gy in single fraction to bone
	Other	Insufficient data to recommend with moderate and ultrafractionation RT, caution suggested on an individual basis.
HER2 target therapy	Trastuzumab and Pertuzumab	Generally safe to use concomitantly with RT.
	Lapatinib	Insufficient data to recommend with moderate and ultrafractionation RT, caution suggested on an individual basis.
	T-DM1	Insufficient data to recommend with moderate and ultrafractionation RT, caution suggested on an individual basis.

RT= radiation therapy